Volume 1 • Issue 1 • 2019



Journal of Ophthalmology and Vision Research

Copyright © All rights are reserved by Subhendu Kumar Boral.

A Novel Standardised Reproducible Method to Calculate the Area of Internal Limiting Mem-brane Peeled (AIP) intra-operatively in Macular Hole Surgery by Using a Video Overlay ("Bo-ral's Ring") - A Long-term study in cases of Idiopathic Macular Holes.

Dr. Subhendu Kumar Boral*, Dr. Arnab Das, Dr. Tushar Kanti Sinha and Bimal Roy

Disha Eye Hospitals Pvt Ltd, 88(63A) Ghosh Para Road, Barrackpore, West Bengal, India

*Corresponding Author: Dr Subhendu Kumar Boral, MBBS, MD (AIIMS), DNB "Keshab Residency", 138 Keshab Chandra Sen Street, Block II, Flat 1E, Amherst Street Kolkata - 700009, West Bengal, India.

Received: December 28, 2018; Published: March 19, 2019

Abstract

Aim: To calculate AIP & to find correlation between hole closure pattern with AIP in idiopathic Full Thickness Macular Hole (FTMH) cases.

Method: In this prospective, non-randomised interventional single blind study, 105 eyes of symptomatic FTMH (< 6month duration) were operated. Minimal diameter of macular hole (MDMH) was calculated on OCT, divided into Group I (>400µ, n=75) & Group II (<400µ, n=30). 23G vitrectomy, ILM peeling, gas injection done in all & recorded. Final AIP calculated using Adobe photoshop CS2 (PSD format) in disc diameter (DD) from still frame. A minimum of 6 month follow up done after surgery in all and further follow up done at 6monthly interval upto a maximum of 5 years after surgery.

Results: FTMH closed in 92.38% eyes. In Group I, mean preoperative BCVA was $1.14 \pm 0.39 \log$ MAR and improved to $0.79 \pm 0.26 \log$ MAR postoperatively at 6 month. In Group II, mean preoperative BCVA was $0.95 \pm 0.44 \log$ MAR and improved to $0.60 \pm 0.24 \log$ MAR af-ter surgery. When AIP >3DD, Type I & Type II closure were 72.77% & 27.27% in Group I (p<0.01) and 84.21% & 15.79% in Group II (p<0.01).

Conclusion: AIP can be calculated using Adobe photoshop CS2. Type I closure was sig-nificantly high with AIP >3DD in both groups. Intra-operatively using video overlay, surgeon can increase the diameter of AIP, to get better closure pattern post-operatively.

Keywords: Full Thickness Macular Hole (FTMH); Area of ILM Peeled(AIP); Minimal diame-ter of macular hole (MDMH); Adobe photoshop CS2; Video overlay, Boral's Ring

Introduction

The vast majority of macular holes are idiopathic (prevalence-3.3 per 1000). [1,2] Vitreous traction at the vitreofoveal interface (both

anteroposterior and tangential traction) currently been accepted to be a major pathogenic mechanism in the formation of full-thickness macular holes. Gass believed that tangential traction caused

by shrinkage of perifoveal vitreous cortex was responsible for idiopathic macular hole formation and staged it accordingly. [3,4] Data based on optical coherence tomography (OCT) has supported Gass' original hypothesis attributing macular hole (MH) to vitreo-foveal traction and an OCT based classifi- cation was developed. [5,6]

This theory has been additionally reinforced by successful treatments [7] of MH with cortical vitreous peeling. Thus, both removal of the posterior cortical vitreous and posterior vitreous detachment are thought to relieve these traction forces and be a predictive factor to MH development. The proposed mechanisms seem to be contradicted by a number of patients in which a MH develops after a complete posterior vitreous detachment [8,9] or even after pars plana vitrectomy (PPV).

Classic macular hole surgery consists of vitrectomy with posterior vitreous cortex sepa-ration to relieve antero-posterior and centrifugal tangential traction and intraocular gas tam-ponade at the end of surgery to promote retinal reattachment by virtue of its surface tension and facilitating flattening and reposition of macular hole edges.

But during the past decade, focus has especially on internal limiting membrane (ILM) peeling as an adjuvant therapy to ensure thorough removal of any tangential tractional com-ponents including any residual cortical vitreous and to increase centripetal mobilisation of retinal tissue to ensure closure. Brooks HL Jr showed primary anatomic closure was [10] achieved in 82% in non- LM peeling group vs 100% in ILM peeling group. Kumagai K., *et al.* also showed that closure rate significantly improved from 81% in non-ILM peeling group to 92% with ILM peeling group. Recent dye, Brilliant Blue(BBG) has high affinity to ILM like ICG, but with minimal toxicity. [11,12]

Shinodo H., et al. mentioned that the standard recommendation was to peel ILM of [13] around 2 disc diameter (DD) in size, centering macular hole in general. OCT plays an important role in predicting surgical outcomes in macular hole surgery. Pre-operative Macular Hole Index (MHI), considering mid-hole diameter and basal diameter, is an well established prognostic factor for visual outcome. Kusuhara S., et al. showed BCVA [14] was better in the MHI \ge 0.5 group than that in the MHI < 0.5 group. Ip MS., et al. showed successful anatomical closure in 92% eyes with preoperative macular hole diameter < 400 μ , [15] but only in 56% eyes with macular hole diameter > 400 μ . Post-operatively, macular hole closure pattern on OCT is also variable and not much predictable also. It can be of two types - Type I closure of macular hole is closed macular hole without any foveal neurosensory retinal defect and Type II closure is closed macular [16,17] hole with foveal neurosensory retinal defect. In study done by Kang SW., *et al.* TypeI closure [16] was 61.3% and TypeII closure was 38.7%. Macular hole is considered as open when there is persistent defect in foveal neurosensory retina with surrounding serous detachment at it's base.

The previous studies did not highlighted the fact that how much area of ILM has to be peeled for better centripetal tissue mobilisation according to the pre-operative macular hole diameter to ensure post-operative macular hole closure without any foveal neurosensory retinal defect. The purpose of this study was to find correlation between macular hole closure pattern on postoperative OCT with area of ILM peeled in idiopathic FTMH cases.

Material and Methods

In this prospective, non randomised single blind study, 105 eyes of 105 patients with symptomatic idiopathic FTMH with less than 6 months duration, had been oper-ated by three vitreoretinal surgeons in Disha Eye Hospitals Pvt Ltd, Barrackpore, West Ben-gal, India from April 2008 to September 2010 and followed up till December 2016. Triamci-nolone acetonide assisted sutureless 23G vitrectomy were performed in all cases along with induction of posterior vitreous detachment and it's removal. 0.05% Brilliant Blue G (BBG) stained Internal Limiting Membranes (ILM) were peeled using 23G Tanno's scratcher and end-grasping forceps, followed by total fluid air exchange. Perfluoropropane (C3F8) gas in isoexpansile concentration was used as tamponade. All surgeries were video recorded. Post- operatively, prone position was maintained for at least 7 days. Post-operative follow-up was for at least 6 months. Included cases were idiopathic full thickness macular holes of all stages (stage II, III and IV).

We excluded:

- All other types of macular holes with secondary origin, including traumatic cases, cases with epimacular membrane, cystoids macular oedema etc.
- 2. Old macular holes with symptoms more than 6 months and
- 3. Disc diameter <1.5mm & >1.9mm.

Parameters evaluated-

- 1) Area of ILM Peeled (AIP)- A novel technique was intro-1. duced to calculate AIP. Per- opera-tive Area of ILM Peeled (AIP) had been calculated from the recorded video. Still frame of the final Area of ILM Peeled (AIP) had been taken using Adobe photoshop CS2 (in PSD format). Final AIP, centering macular hole had been calculated according to the longest disc diameter(DD) using three equidistant concentric rings with enlarging diameter(1DD, 2DD and 3DD) and divided into two groups-more than 3DD & less than 3DD, depending on whether the margin of ILM peeled area is covering the 3DD circle in at least three quadrants or not. AIP had been measured in the following way : a) 3 equidistant concentric circles were made in Adobe photoshop CS2 in PSD format (Figure 1), b) smallest circle was fitted according to the longest disc diameter in the final still frame of the ILM peeling from the recorded video (Figure 2) and c) now these three circles were shifted to the ILM peeled area to note whether the margin of the ILM peeled area was crossing the outer-most circle in at least in three quadrants or not, depending on it would be >3DD or <3DD (Figure 3).
- Pre-operative Minimal diameters of macular holes (MDMH)

 measured on Spectral Do-main OCT using callipers, divided into two groups–GroupI (with MDMH >400μ, n=75) & GroupII (with MDMH <400μ, n=30).
- 3. Post-operative macular hole closure pattern on SD-OCT performed in all either after 7 days or 14 days (if closure pattern was certain on 7th day OCT) and to note Type I, Type II or open closure pattern.



Figure 1



Figure 2



Figure 3

OCT-technician was aware of pre-operative MDMH and surgeons remained at blind-end, without knowing the pre-operative MDMH. Per operative AIP had been calculated in all using described method and on considering pre-operative MDMH, relation between per operative AIP and post-operative macular hole closure pattern were statistically analysed.

Results

FTMHs were closed on post-operative SD-OCT in 97/105(92.38%) eyes. In Group I, closure rate was - 67/75 (89.33%) eyes and in Group II, it was 30/30 (100%) eyes. Clinical and demographical profile of both group have been described in Table 1 and Table 2. Overall pre & postoperative mean Best Corrected Visual Acuity (BCVA) were $1.09\pm0.41 \& 0.73\pm0.26 \log$ MAR respectively. In Group I, mean preoperative BCVA was $1.14 \pm 0.39 \log$ MAR and im-proved to $0.79 \pm 0.26 \log$ MAR postoperatively. In GroupII, mean preoperative BCVA was $0.95 \pm 0.44 \log$ MAR and improved to $0.60 \pm 0.24 \log$ MAR after surgery. (Table 3).

Journal of Ophthalmology and Vision Research

Page 4 of 10

	Pre- Op	Com- bined Sx	Phac/ PCIOL		ILM Pee Area	led	Hole (Closure	OPEN	BCVA	BCVA	BCVA	BCVA	BCVA	BCVA	BCVA
Pa- tient No.	BCVA			MDMH > 400 μ	< 3DD	> 3DD	Type I	Type II		6 month	12 month	18 month	24 month	36 month	42 month	60 month
1	1		PCIOL	523	Y			Y		1						
2	1		PHAC	798	Y				Y	0.78	0.5	0.2				
3	0.78		PCIOL	548	Y				Y	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.6		
4	1.1	Y		885		Y		Y		1	1	1	1	1		
5	2		PCIOL	498	Y			Y		2						
6	1.4	Y		736	Y			Y		1						
7	2		PCIOL	536	Y			Y		1						
8	1		PHAC	616	Y			Y		0.78						
9	2		PHAC	735		Y		Y		0.78	0.78	0.6	0.6	0.6		
10	2		PCIOL	633		Y	Y			0.6	0.6	0.6				
11	1.2		PHAC	582		Y	Y			1						
12	1	Y		517		Y	Y			1	1	1	1	1	1	
13	2	Y		836		Y	Y			0.6						
14	1		PHAC	460		Y	Y			0.6						
15	1		PHAC	465		Y	Y			1						
16	1.3		PCIOL	567		Y	Y			1						
17	1		PCIOL	753		Y	Y			0.5						
18	1.3		PCIOL	717		Y	Y			0.78	0.3					
19	1		PCIOL	555	Y		Y			0.5						
20	1		PCIOL	533		Y	Y			0.6						
21	1		PCIOL	869		Y	Y			0.78	0.78	0.78				
22	0.6		PCIOL	778	Y			Y		0.78	0.78	0.78	0.6			
23	1		PCIOL	717		Y		Y								
24	1	Y		709		Y			Y	0.78						
25	0.6	Y		464	Y				Y	1	0.78	0.6				
26	2		PCIOL	743	Y		Y			1						
27	1		PCIOL	954		Y			Y	1						
28	0.78		PCIOL	465		Y	Y			0.5						
29	1		PCIOL	623	Y				Y	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
30	0.78		PCIOL	511		Y	Y			0.5	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
31	1.1		PCIOL	473		Y	Y			0.6						
32	1		PHAC	717		Y			Y	0.78						
33	1		PHAC	514		Y	Y			0.6						
34	1		PHAC	449	Y		Y			1						
35	1.3		PHAC	561		Y	Y			0.78	0.3					
36	0.78		PCIOL	616		Y		Y		1						

Page 5 of 10

	1								1							
37	1	Y		1005		Y	Y			0.5	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3
38	1		PCIOL	549		Y	Y			0.5						
39	1		PHAC	659		Y	Y			0.78						
40	1.3	Y		532		Y	Y			0.78						
41	1		PHAC	720		Y	Y			0.5	0.5					
42	1		PHAC	515	Y			Y		0.78	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.5
43	2		PCIOL	519	Y		Y			0.6						
44	1.1	Y		886		Y		Y		0.78						
45	1.4		PHAC	608		Y	Y			0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.5	
46	1.3	Y		422	Y		Y			0.78						
47	1		PCIOL	616		Y		Y		1						
48	1.3		PHAC	456		Y	Y			1	0.6					
49	1		PCIOL	476	Y			Y		1	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78
50	1		PCIOL	656		Y	Y			0.78						
51	1		PHAC	474		Y	Y			0.48	0.3					
52	0.78		PHAC	735		Y	Y			0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3			
53	0.78		PHAC	456		Y	Y			0.6	0.6	0.6				
54	2	Y		720	Y			Y		1.4						
55	1.4	Y		473		Y		Y		1						
56	2	Y		726		Y			Y	1						
57	0.78		PHAC	405	Y			Y		0.78						
58	1		PHAC	449		Y	Y			0.6						
59	1		PHAC	461		Y	Y			0.5						
60	0.78	Y		473	Y		Y			0.6						
61	1		PHAC	745		Y		Y		0.6	0.6	0.6				
62	1.1		PHAC	717		Y	Y			0.78	0.78	0.78				
63	1.1	Y		549		Y		Y		1						
64	1		PCIOL	709		Y		Y		0.78						
65	1		PHAC	591		Y	Y			0.6						
66	1	Y		532	Y			Y		1	0.3	0.3				
67	0.6		PCIOL	447		Y	Y			0.5	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	
68	0.6		PHAC	422	Y			Y		0.5						
69	0.6		PCIOL	551	Y			Y		0.3						
70	1		PCIOL	928	Y			Y		0.78	0.78					
71	2		PCIOL	768	Y			Y		1	0.78					
72	1.3	Y		658	Y		Y			1						
73	1	Y		684	Y		Y			0.78	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.5		
74	1	Y		717		Y		Y		0.78						
75	1.3	Y		719		Y		Y		1						
	I									1	1	1	1			

Combined Sx = Phaco + IOL + Macular hole surgery

MDMH = Minimal Diameter of Macular Hole

Y = Yes

Table 1

BCVA = Best Corrected Visual Acuity Phac = Phakic eye, PCIOL = Pseudophakic eye

	Pre-Op	Com- bined Sx	Phac/ PCIOL		ILM Pee Area	led	Hole C	losure	OPEN	BCVA	BCVA	BCVA	BCVA	BCVA	BCVA	BCVA
Pa- tient No.	BCVA			MDMH < 400 μ	< 3DD	> 3DD	Type I	Type II		6 month	12 month	18 month	24 month	36 month	42 month	60 month
1	2	Y		312		Y		Y		0.6	0.6					
2	0.78	Y		186		Y	Y			0.5	0.5					
3	0.6		PHAC	338		Y	Y			0.5	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
4	0.6		PHAC	287		Y	Y			0.6	0.5					
5	0.6		PHAC	382	Y	Y				0.6						
6	0.5		PHAC	304		Y	Y			0.3	0.3					
7	0.5		PCIOL	135		Y	Y			0.3						
8	1.4		PCIOL	287		Y		Y		0.78	0.78	0.6	0.5			
9	0.6		PCIOL	321		Y	Y			0.5	0.3	0.3	0.3			
10	1.1		PCIOL	354		Y	Y			0.6	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
11	1	Y		321		Y		Y		1						
12	0.5	Y		346		Y	Y			0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2		
13	0.5		PHAC	253		Y	Y			0.3						
14	1		PCIOL	276	Y		Y			0.5						
15	0.78		PCIOL	144	Y		Y			0.48	0.3	0.3	0.2			
16	1		PHAC	336	Y		Y			0.78						
17	0.5		PCIOL	236		Y	Y			0.2	0.2	0.2				
18	0.6		PCIOL	312		Y	Y			0.3						
19	0.78		PCIOL	241		Y	Y			0.5						
20	0.78		PCIOL	262		Y	Y			0.5	0.3	0.3	0.2			
21	0.78		PHAC	245	Y			Y		0.78	0.78					
22	2		PHAC	361	Y			Y		1	0.78	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.5	
23	1		PCIOL	236		Y	Y			0.5	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3		
24	1.4		PCIOL	234						1	0.78	0.5	0.5			
25	0.78		PCIOL	219		Y	Y			0.6						
26	2		PCIOL	194	Y		Y			1						
27	1.3	Y		361	Y			Y		0.78	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.3
28	1.1		PCIOL	263		Y	Y			1	0.78					
29	1		PCIOL	199	Y		Y			0.6	0.6	0.5				
30	1		PHAC	261	Y		Y			0.5	0.5	0.5				

Combined Sx = Phaco + IOL + Macular hole surgeryMDMH = Minimal Diameter of Macular HoleBCVA = Best Corrected Visual AcuityPhac = Phakic eye, PCIOL = Pseudophakic eyeY = Yes

Table 2

GROUP	Pre-op mean BCVA (Log MAR)	Post-op mean BCVA (Log MAR)
In all	1.09 ± 0.41	0.73±0.26
Ι	1.14±0.39	0.79±0.26
II	0.95±0.44	0.60±0.24

Table 3: Shows pre and post operative best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) in both groups.

In Group I, mean MDMH was $618.45 \pm 143.45 \mu$ and in Group II, it was $273.53 \pm 64.64 \mu$. The closure patterns (whether Type I or Type II) were variable according to the area of ILM peeled and pre-operative MDMH (Table 4).

GROUP	MDMH	AIP	Type I closure	Type II closure	Open hole
Ι	>400µ	>3DD	32	12	4
Ι	>400µ	<3DD	8	15	4
II	<400µ	>3DD	16	3	0
II	<400µ	<3DD	8	3	0

 Table 4: Shows relationship between Area of ILM Peeled (AIP)
 and Macular hole closure pattern, considering pre-operative

 Minimal Diameter of Macular Hole (MDMH).

Combined phacoemulsification with IOL implantation and macular hole surgery done in twenty patients in Group I and 5 patients in Group II. 25 patients in GroupI and 9 patients in Group II were phakic. 30 patients in Group I and 16 patients in Group II were pseudophakic.

In Group I, Type I & Type II closure were seen in 32/44 (72.73%) eyes and 12/44 (27.27%) eyes respectively (p value <0.01, statistically significant) when AIP was more than 3DD in size (Figure 4). In Group II, Type I & Type II closure were seen in 16/19 (84.21%) and 3/19 (15.79%) eyes when AIP was more than 3DD in size (p value <0.01, statistically significant) (Figure 5).

In our study, macular hole remained open in 8/75 patients of Group I after intervention. In 4 out 8 patients with open macular hole, AIP was >3DD and in rest of 4 patients, AIP was < 3 DD in size. For open macular holes, repeat ILM peeling with fluid air exchange with long acting C3F8 gas injection done.



GROUP	MDMH	AIP	Type I	Type II	p value
1	>400µ	>3DD	32	12	<0.01

Figure 4



	-				
Ш	<400µ	>3DD	16	03	<0.01

Figure 5

Only one case in Group I (Case no.23 in Table 1) developed retinal detachment after surgery. All patients underwent at least 6 month follow up. 49 patients (Group I=29, Group II= 20). Completed 12 months follow up. 25 patients (Group I = 14, Group II = 11) completed 24 months follow up. 18 patients (Group I = 12, Group II = 6) completed 36months follow up and 12 patients (Group I = 8, Group II = 4) completed 42 months follow up. Only 8 patients (Group I = 5, Group II = 3) completed five years follow up. Visual improvements in both groups are de-picted in the charts (Figures 6,7,8,9).





Comparison between pre-operative, 6 months and 12 months post-operative Mean BCVA



Figure 7

Comparison between pre-operative, 6 months, 12 months and 24 months post-operative Mean BCVA



Discussion

Reports of more than 90% primary closure have appeared in the literature claiming that ILM peeling was the single most important variable. The idea to calculate the ILM peeled area came to our mind from our previous observations that in small sized macu-lar hole of

less than 400μ in diameter, Type I closure was not happening in all, but even in large sized macular hole of more than 400μ in diameter, Type I closure was possible. We thought, it must be related to the relative degree of centrifugal traction, which can be re-leased more by ILM peeling for better mobilisation of peri hole retinal tissue. In our study, the measurement of AIP in longest disc diameter was possible by using Adobe photoshop CS2 in PSD format by three equidistant concentric circle of enlarging diameter (i.e 1DD, 2DD and 3DD). Often, ILM peeled area is not perfectly circular; many a times, AIP may be oval, irreg-ular or oblong shaped. So we decided to measure the size of AIP by taking the still frame of final AIP from the recorded video and the margin of this final AIP should cover the 3DD circle margin in at least 3 quadrants, centering macular hole.



Pre-operatively, minimal diameter of the macular holes were measured as these mar-gins have highest propensity to appose. In the literature, the incidence of Type I closure was 61.3% and Type II closure was [16] 38.7%. We have seen in GroupI eyes with MDMH >400µ size, when we peeled ILM of more than 3DD in size, there was a significantly high (p<0.01) incidence of Type I closure (72.73% vs 27.27%). But in these large sized macular holes, when we peeled ILM of less than 3DD in size, the incidence of Type I closure came down to 34.78% and Type II clo-sure pattern became 65.22%; but here the difference was statistically less significant. Simi-larly, in GroupII with MDMH <400µ in size, when we peeled ILM of more than 3DD in size, Type I and TypeII closure were 84.21% and 15.79% (p<0.01-statistically significant); but when we peeled ILM of less than 3DD in size, incidence of Type I closure was only 72.73% and Type II closure pattern was 27.27% which was statistically not significant. In this aspect, our study can be regarded as a pilot study, as till of now, no other study highlighted the logi-cal

The application of this three equidistant concentric circle, made in Adobe photoshop is we can use it intra-operatively as a video overlay. We named this video overlay as "Boral's Ring". An online switcher is required for chroma keying and mixing using two analog inputs, one from camera microscope as live video and another from computer as video overlay ("Bo-ral's Ring"). The final analog signal input will go to the video recorder (Figures 10) so that the sur-geon can use this video overlay intra-operatively to calculate ILM peeled area in respect to the disc diameter. Intra-operatively, surgeon can enlarge the area of ILM peeled by peeling more ILM (Figures 11-16), according to the preoperative MDMH to ensure better closure pattern.



Figure 10







Figure 12



Figure 13



Figure 14



Figure 15



Figure 16

As majority of the patients in our study were having large sized macular hole with relative-ly poorer visual recovery, long term follow up was less. Postoperative outer retinal layer integrity is associated with better final retinal sensitivity.

Conclusions

AIP can be calculated using Adobe Photoshop CS2. As there is no definite standard method to calculate the AIP, this is a novel method to measure how much area of ILM has been peeled. We have seen Type I closure was significantly higher when AIP was more than 3DD in size in both groups. Intra-operatively using video overlay of 3 equidistant concentric rings, surgeon can increase the diameter of ILM peeled area, according to the pre-operative minimal diameter of macular hole, to ensure a better closure pattern postopera-tively.

References

- 1. Fine S. (1993). Macular holes. Ophthalmology100: 871.
- 2. Rees AB, Jones IS, Cooper WC (1967). Macular changes secondary to vitreous traction. Am J Ophthalmol 64 : 544-549.
- Gass JD. (1995). Reappraisal of biomicroscopic classification of stages of development of a macular hole. Am J Ophthalmol 119(6): 752–9.
- 4. Gass JDM. (1988). Idiopathic senile macular holes: its early stages and pathogenesis. Arch Oph- thalmol 106:629-39.
- Gaudric A, Haouchine B, Massin P, et al. (1999). Macular hole formation: new data provided by optical coherence tomography. Arch Ophthalmol 117(6): 744–51.
- Duker JS, Kaiser PK, Binder S, et al. (2013). The International Vitreomacular Traction Study Group classification of vitreomacular adhesion, traction, and macular hole. Ophthalmolo-gy. 120 (12): 2611–9.
- Kelly NE, Wendel RT. (1991). Vitreous surgery for idiopathic macular holes. Results of a pilot study. Arch Ophthalmol 109 (5): 654–9.
- 8. Gordon LW, Glaser BM, Ie D., et al. (1995). Full-thickness macular hole formation in eyes with a pre-existing complete posterior vitreous detachment. Ophthalmology 102(11): 1702–5.
- 9. Smiddy WE. (2008). Macular hole formation without vitreofoveal traction. Arch Ophthalmol 126(5): 737–8.

Benefits of Publishing with EScientific Publishers:

- Swift Peer Review
- Freely accessible online immediately upon publication
- Global archiving of articles
- Authors Retain Copyrights
- Visibility through different online platforms

Submit your Paper at:

https://escientificpublishers.com/submission