

Journal of Oral Care and Dentistry

Copyright © All rights are reserved by Tom Spears.

Analytical Response to the Recent Statement of the Nature of Ethical Publishing Issues as Identified by the Ottawa Group in Nature

Yosemite Sam¹ and Tom Spears^{2*}, Elmer Fudd³

- ¹Director, Provariant Interstices Institute, 6000 Dry Gulch Canyon, Alomogordo, NM 88310, USA
- ²Minion, Providian Interstercis Institute, 6000 Dry Gulch Canyon, Alomogordo, NM 88310, USA

*Corresponding Author: Tom Spears, Minion, Providian Interstercis Institute, 6000 Dry Gulch Canyon, Alomogordo, NM 88310, USA.

Received: December 16, 2019; Published: December 18, 2019

The recent Delphinic policy analysis published in Nature, from the Ottawa group that gathered to define predatory journals and practices, has brought new focus to bear on this enduring problem. (https://www.nature.com/articles/d41586-019-03759-y) In response, we convened a group (N=2) at the International House of Policy to consider how this set of definitions reflects our own experience. The resulting IHOP Statement is still being formally formulated, but preliminary findings are as follows. The undersigned claim particular knowledge of such journalistic practices because, epistemologically speaking, they are where we live.

We address the five definitions in the same sequence in which they appear in Nature:

- Putting profit over scholarship. Clearly this is an attractive definition but we believe that ultimately it can prove misleading, implying as it does that scholarship is in some form endemic to our operations. Thing A cannot take precedence over Thing B if the latter is not at the table in the first place. We refer the Ottawa Group to the Ferengi Rules of Acquisition for a better definition of our strict rules regarding profit, including (but not limited to): Possession is eleven-tenths of the law.
- 2. Having false or misleading information. Again, this misses the mark, raising the philosophical conundrum of how one may be said to have false information if information is absent. This is not mere sophistry. It is a fine yet important distinction. As

- a second point, many journals ensure the accuracy of their information by lifting it wholesale from prior publications, preserving the precise content and hence the correct meaning.
- 3. Deviating from best practices. We take the philosophical analogy of travelling down a road. Neither of us who gathered at the International House of Policy, as it happens, has ever been to the American town of Lordsburg, NM, and therefore we cannot ever be said to deviate from the main street of Lordsburg. One can deviate only from a course that one has taken in the past. (One refers to the work of the late John Ford, in 1939, as the pre-eminent study of the road to Lordsburg.) Similarly, one cannot be said to deviate from a set of best practices to which one emphatically has not adhered in the first place. Any judge would make such a charge disappear faster than an IHOP original buttermilk full-stack on seniors' Tuesday.
- 4. Lacking transparency. This is a more serious charge, as it unfortunately contains some uncomfortable historical truths that we cannot ignore. Our group has at times failed to provide full and frank information on the nature of our tomfoolery. We are working on principles of fuller transparency but cannot reveal them as they are confidential.
- 5. Using indiscriminate or aggressive solicitation. This is entirely correct. Discrimination has no place in modern society, whether based on nationality, religion, colour or other prejudices. We are therefore proud to be indiscriminate and regard this as a core value.

Citation: Yosemite Sam and Tom Spears, Elmer Fudd. (2019). Analytical Response to the Recent Statement of the Nature of Ethical Publishing Issues as Identified by the Ottawa Group in Nature. *Journal of Oral Care and Dentistry* 1(2).

³Shotgun sequencing technician

In conclusion, we at IHOP compliment the Ottawa group on its insights, its collegiality, and its wisdom in avoiding the LRT. We look forward to refinishing our own policy document over the coming months.

Benefits of Publishing with EScientific Publishers:

- Swift Peer Review
- Freely accessible online immediately upon publication
- Global archiving of articles
- Authors Retain Copyrights
- Visibility through different online platforms

Submit your Paper at:

https://escientificpublishers.com/submission