

## Relevant Risk Factors for Colon Cancer

Entizar Alawiy\*

Kerbala Institute, Al-Azamiya Street, Kerbala, Iraq

\*Corresponding Author: Entizar Alawiy, Muallim Group Building, Building 13. Kerbala, Iraq.

Received: November 30, 2021; Published: December 09, 2021

### Background

In the United States, colorectal cancer is the third driving cause of cancer deaths in each sex and moment for both genders combined [1]. At current rates, approximately 6% of people will create colorectal cancer inside their lifetime, and approximately half of analyzed people will pass on from this disease. In the Joined together States, roughly 130,000 cases of colorectal cancer are diagnosed every year [1].

The rate of colorectal cancer increments precipitously with age; when analyzed some time recently age 40, the causes are ordinarily relatively uncommon, profoundly penetrant hereditary disorders. Between 1991 and 1995, the age-standardized frequency rate of colorectal cancer was 54.5 per 100,000 among men and 38.2 per 100,000 among ladies, and the age-standardized mortality rate was 26.9 per 100,000 men and 14.9 per 100,000 women. Although the age-standardized rates are higher in men, the number of new cases and passing is around break even with between the genders since of the tendency for ladies tend to live longer than men. The rate rates of colorectal cancer are tall in basically all economically created nations. The significance of natural variables was established by the considerable geographic variety in rate of colon cancer; the reliable rises in frequency inside populaces experiencing economic advancement or “Westernization”, and the striking increments in the incidence of colorectal cancer in bunches that have relocated from moo- to high-incidence zones. Approximately two decades prior, Doll and Peto [2], utilizing international comparisons of introduction prevalence and illness rates. that up to 90% of colon cancers may have a basically dietary contribution. A number of theories endeavoring to clarify these designs proceed to be evaluated in epidemiologic (observational) and intercession thinks about.

This article summarizes the most modifiable components accepted to extend or decrease the chance of this illness, counting slim down, weight, physical activity, postmenopausal hormones, tobacco, liquor, and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs). Three out of four of colorectal cancer cases occur in the colon. A few of the hazard variables for rectal cancer may cover with those for colon cancer, whereas a few may be unmistakable. This article does not focus on rectal cancer, since for the most part less is known around its causes; however, a few likenesses and contrasts between colon cancer and rectal cancer hazard components will be summarized.

### Factors

#### Obesity, physical activity, energy balance, and insulin and insulin-like growth factors

Colon cancer rates are profoundly related with financial advancement or “Westernization.” In spite of the fact that a few components related to the Western lifestyle may contribute to colon cancer, a huge and developing body of prove implicates vitality adjust. Numerous ponders, counting planned thinks about, have found that weight, as a rule surveyed by body mass record (BMI), is associated with an expanded chance of colon cancer [3–15]. The affiliation shows up to be stronger for men than for ladies, conceivably since the relationship becomes weaker post menopause in ladies [16]. More later prove moreover suggests that an inclination for the central dissemination of fat (visceral adiposity) increases chance freely of BMI. For illustration, in a ponder of men [5], when comparing upper to lower quintiles, the relative hazard for colon cancer was almost three and a half in men with a tall waist-to-hip proportion compared with those with a moo proportion. While weight increment’s chance, physical action is related with a decrease in chance.

In spite of the fact that physical action is troublesome to degree in epidemiologic considers, over 50 considers in assorted populaces appear that more physically dynamic people are at lower chance for colon cancer, in spite of the fact that not for rectal cancer [17].

An around 50% diminishment in rate of colon cancer is watched among people with the most elevated level of physical activity. In spite of the fact that physical action is regularly related with other way of life factors that may be related with colon cancer chance [5], the converse association between colon cancer hazard and physical action shows up to be independent. In show disdain toward of the wide variety in strategy among considers, counting type of movement (eg, relaxation time or word related) and strategy of evaluation, considerable consistency is found, with uncommon special cases. Likely the foremost compelling contention against the plausibility that the converse relationship with physical action is perplexed by an unidentified covariate is that both leisure time action and word related action are related with lower colon 926 E. Giovannucci / Gastroenterol Clin N Am 31 (2002) 925–943 cancer hazard. It is troublesome to conceive of a covariate that bewilders the physical action affiliation for considers based on relaxation time exercises as well as occupational activities.

The steady discoveries for corpulence, central corpulence and physical inactivity as chance variables for colon cancer has driven to the speculation that affront resistance and the coming about hyperinsulinemia related with these conditions is the fundamental chance calculate [18]. This speculation has been backed by recent studies that have found sort 2 diabetes mellitus [19] and hyperinsulinemia to be straightforwardly related with colon cancer hazard numerous a long time some time recently colon cancer is analyzed [20] In expansion to hyperinsulinemia, tall concentrations of insulin-like development components (IGF) moreover show up to extend hazard of colorectal neoplasia. For case, acromegaly, a condition characterized by chronically hoisted development hormone levels coming about from a pituitary adenoma and IGF-1 hypersecretion, is related with expanded colonic epithelial cell proliferation [21] and raised hazard of generous and dangerous colon tumors [22]. Imminent ponders have found tall but ordinary circulating levels of IGF-1 related to lifted chance of colorectal neoplasia [23–24].

### **Fruit, vegetables and Fibers**

The larger part of case-control thinks about appear a reverse affiliation between intake of vegetables, and conceivably natural products, and colon cancer chance [25,36,48–51]. Trock et al [52] conducted a meta-analysis of six case-control thinks about and found

that a tall admission of vegetables was related with approximately half the chance for colon cancer, and tall fiber was related with an approximately 40% decrease in chance. Nourishments tall in fiber have moreover been appeared to be related with lower colon cancer chance in numerous thinks about [48,49,50,33,43–28], but not all of them [27,51,12–31]. A more later pooled examination of 13 case-control thinks about [22] found an around 50% lower chance associated with higher fiber admissions.

In differentiate, huge imminent considers have shown weak or nonexistent reverse affiliations for fiber and chance of colon cancer [6,27–29,43]. So also, invalid comes about were watched for add up to natural products and vegetables [44]. In a later comprehensive planned consider analyzing the role of fiber and its components on hazard of colorectal neoplasms, Fuchs et al [23] found no defensive impact from a high-fiber eat less against colorectal cancer or adenoma.

Moreover, no imperative affiliations were watched when analyses were conducted for cereal, natural product, or vegetable fiber. The causes of the clear irregularities between the case-control and cohort thinks about are not clear. In common, case-control ponders are more prone 928 E. Giovannucci/Gastroenterol Clin N Am 31 (2002) 925–943 to inclination since dietary data is collected reflectively, that's, after the determination of cancer is made. Additionally, later randomized intervention studies utilizing repetitive adenomas as the endpoint have not backed a role of natural products and vegetables [25], wheat bran fiber [46] or ispaghula fiber [17]. Although the utilize of repetitive adenoma may not be pertinent for studying later stages of carcinogenesis, these discoveries, when considered along with prospective observational considers of cancer, recommend that the part of fruits, vegetables, and fiber may be insignificant or at slightest much weaker than had been already accepted. Diets tall in natural products, vegetables, and fiber may have numerous wellbeing benefits, but maybe to some degree shockingly, a substantial reduction in colon cancer chance may not be among one of the benefits.

### **Alcohol**

The weight of epidemiologic prove demonstrates that tall impalpable of alcohol increment the chance of colorectal cancer [19]. In spite of the fact that not all ponders support an affiliation between liquor admissions and colon cancer chance, a relationship has been watched in numerous imminent [3,11,10,20–25] and case-control

ponders [26–33]. Moreover, tall liquor admissions have been consistently related to higher chance of colorectal adenoma [14]. A recent meta-analysis demonstrated that tall buyers of liquor had a hoisted risk of colorectal cancer [34]. This affiliation in numerous considers isn't confounded by other known chance components of colorectal cancer and is generally observed for both rectal and colon cancers. How liquor may increment the hazard of colorectal cancer is obscure. One possibility is the capacity of liquor, especially its metabolite acetaldehyde, to antagonize folate and methionine digestion system [35–37]. The apparent interaction between liquor admissions and the folate-related protein MTHFR supports this idea [12,17,18]. Ponders tend to appear that people with low impalpable of folate and conceivably methionine are at a particularly tall hazard of colon cancer in the event that they drink considerable levels of liquor, but in people with high immaterial of these, liquor shows up less harmful [10].

### Tobacco

Up until moderately as of late, tobacco was not for the most part involved as a cause of colorectal malignancies; be that as it may, the next hazard of colorectal adenomas E. Giovannucci/Gastroenterol Clin N Am 31 (2002) 925–943 931 consistently watched among smokers in a number of thinks about driven to a re-evaluation of the relationship between tobacco and colorectal cancer [18]. Two large cohort ponders recommended a long acceptance period between the time of smoking introduction and consequent hazard of colorectal cancer [39,40]. In more as of late distributed considers, in which an acceptance period of three to four decades may be accounted for, the larger part has detailed positive associations between cigarette smoking and hazard of colorectal cancer [3, 11–50], in spite of the fact that a few considers were not strong [15–54]. cancer [3, 11–40], in spite of the fact that a few considers were not steady [11–15]. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons shape DNA adducts in human colon mucosa [45], suggesting these may be the starting insuperable. Tobacco shows up related both to rectal and colonic malignancies. Based on gauges from different studies of the populace crediting the chance of colorectal cancer to smoking [39, 42,13,24], roughly 7,000 to 9,000 passing from colorectal cancer per year within the Joined together States are inferable to smoking.

### Aspirin and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs

Astoundingly reliable prove from epidemiologic considers of cancer [17–11] or adenoma [10,12–14], as well as creature ponders [165–168], indicates that nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs

(NSAIDs) have anticarcinogenic impacts within the colon and rectum. A few of the NSAIDs besides aspirin that have appeared benefits incorporate indomethacin, ibuprofen, piroxicam, and sulindac. In expansion, intercession thinks about among people with familial adenomatous polyposis also show a good thing about NSAIDs, particularly sulindac [16–31]. Patients with rheumatoid joint pain, who by and large have higher utilized of NSAIDs, have lower frequency and mortality rates of gastrointestinal malignancies [12,17] intercession trial moreover underpins an advantage of headache medicine, particularly at moo doses (80 mg/day), on repetitive adenoma hazard [14]. In common, comes about are consistent with an inexact 30% to 50% lessening in colorectal cancer risk associated with utilize of ibuprofen or other NSAIDs, in spite of the fact that in one ponder a positive association was watched [17]; be that as it may, this think about was the uncommon exception. Aspirin, piroxicam, sulindac, sulindac sulfone, and celecoxib are being evaluated as chemo preventive operators. A few NSAIDs will likely not be suitable candidates for chemoprevention since of noteworthy toxicities, including expanded chance of gastrointestinal dying, ulcers, and kidney damage [16]. The activity of most NSAIDs is based on restraint of the enzyme cyclooxygenase [17] There are two shapes of cyclooxygenase; the first (COX-1) is included in ordinary upkeep of cellular work; the second (COX-2) is initiated by damage or irritation.

The later center of research on cyclooxygenase inhibitors has been the recognizable proof of operators that block COX-2 but save COX-1. Celecoxib, for illustration, is nearly solely a COX-2 inhibitor. In exploratory frameworks, celecoxib reduces distorted crypt formation [18] and diminishes the rate, assortment, and weight burden 932 E. Giovannucci/Gastroenterol Clin N Am 31 (2002) 925–943 of initiated tumors [19]. In these thinks about, celecoxib clearly initiated few of the harmful side impacts that are by and large related with NSAIDs, including weight misfortune, gastrointestinal ulcers, mucosal harm, or dying. Chemoprevention trials of celecoxib are by and by being conducted; in any case, the cardiovascular advantage of ibuprofen is to a great extent due to COX-1 hindrance.

### Postmenopausal hormone consumption

Ladies who utilize postmenopausal hormones have a roughly 30% to 40% diminished chance of colon or colorectal cancer within the lion's share of casecontrol and cohort ponders [3,14,18–15]. Health-related components related to hormonal utilize may conceivably account for this reverse affiliation, but this relationship is

autonomous of components that have been controlled in statistical analyses. This advantage could reflect a coordinate advantage of estrogens or indirect mechanisms. For case, postmenopausal hormone utilize appears to substantially lower circulating IGF-1 levels [16]. Conclusive comes about may have to await randomized controlled trials. Indeed, in the event that this association were proven to be causal, the open wellbeing suggestions would have to be consider other potential benefits and dangers of hormonal substitution.

## Discussion

In spite of the fact that numerous components stay hazy, an expansive body of evidence indicates that a few dietary and way of life components are likely to have a major influence on the hazard of colon cancer. Physical inertia, overabundance body weight, and a central statement of adiposity are reliable chance variables. Overconsumption of vitality is likely to be one of the major supporters to the high rates of colon cancer in Western nations. Past their impact on energy balance, the autonomous part of particular macronutrients stays controversial. Ruddy meat, handled meats, and maybe refined carbohydrates contribute to chance. Later prove show that incessant hyperinsulinemia may increase chance of colon cancer. As affront resistance and ensuing hyperinsulinemia is initiated by overabundance vitality admissions and a few viewpoints of the Western eat less (eg, immersed fats and refined carbohydrates), affront may be a focus of variables affecting colon cancer chance. Later prove too points to a part of IGF-1, but our understanding of modifiable components that influence levels of these is destitute at display. Of note is that hyperinsulinemia increases free IGF-1 presentation [25]. Tall liquor utilization, likely in combination with a count calories moo in some micronutrients such as folate and methionine, and smoking early in life are likely to extend chance of colon cancer. Later epidemiologic ponders have tended not to bolster a solid impact of fiber; instep, a few micronutrients or phytochemicals in fiber-rich nourishments may be imperative.

Folate is one such nutrient that has gotten consideration of late and is being considered in randomized E. Giovannucci/Gastroenterol Clin N Am 31 (2002) 925-943 933 intervention trials. Specialists with chemo preventive properties, such as aspirin and postmenopausal estrogens, have potential antagonistic impacts so a cautious consideration of the risk-benefit proportion is required some time recently common recommendations can be made. Other NSAIDs with a potential for decreased harmfulness, such as celecoxib, are right now being assessed for viability and poisonous quality. The

overpowering prove demonstrates that essential avoidance of colon cancer is attainable. At slightest 70% of colon cancers may be preventable by moderate changes in eat less and way of life [19]. Auxiliary anticipation, through screening by sigmoidoscopy and colonoscopy, is additionally fundamentally important to avoid mortality from colon cancer; be that as it may, numerous of the count calories and lifestyle chance components for colon cancers are the same for cardiovascular disease and for a few other cancers, so centering on the modifiable chance components for colon cancer is likely to have numerous extra benefits past this cancer

## References

1. Landis SH, Murray T, Bolden S, Wingo PA. Cancer Statistics, 1999. *CA Cancer J Clin* 1999; 49:8-31.
2. Doll R, Peto R. Avoidable risks of cancer in the United States. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1981; 66:1196-265.
3. Wu AH, Paganini-Hill A, Ross RK, Henderson BE. (1987). Alcohol, physical activity and other risk factors for colorectal cancer: a prospective study. *Br J Cancer* 55:687-94.
4. Lee IM, Paffenbarger RS Jr, Hsieh CC. (1991). Physical activity and risk of developing colorectal cancer among college alumni. *J Natl Cancer Inst*; 83:1324-9.
5. Giovannucci E, Ascherio A, Rimm EB, Colditz GA, Stampfer MJ, Willett WC. (1995). Physical activity, obesity, and risk for colon cancer and adenoma in men. *Ann Intern Med* 122:327-34.
6. Bostick RM, Potter JD, Kushi LH, Sellers TA, Steinmetz KA, McKenzie DR, et al. (1994). Sugar, meat, and fat intake, and non-dietary risk factors for colon cancer incidence in Iowa women (United States). *Cancer Causes Control*; 5:38-52.
7. Lew EA, Garfinkel L. (1979). Variations in mortality by weight among 750,000 men and women. *J Chronic Dis*; 32:563-76.
8. Waaler HT. (1984). Height, weight and mortality. The Norwegian experience. *Acta Med Scand Suppl*; 679:1-56.
9. Phillips RL, Snowdon DA. (1985). Dietary relationships with fatal colorectal cancer among Seventh-Day Adventists. *J Natl Cancer Inst*, 74:307-17.
10. Garland C, Shekelle RB, Barrett-Conner E, Criqui MH, Rossof AH, Paul O. (1985). Dietary vitamin D and calcium and risk of colorectal cancer: a 19-year prospective study in men. *Lancet*, 1:307-9.
11. Klatsky AL, Armstrong MA, Friedman GD, Hiatt RA. (1988). The relations of alcoholic beverage use to colon and rectal cancer. *Am J Epidemiol*; 128:1007-15.



12. Must A, Jacques PF, Dallal GE, Bajema CJ, Dietz WH. (1992). Long-term morbidity and mortality of overweight adolescents. A follow-up of the Harvard Growth Study of 1922 to 1935. *N Engl J Med*, 327:1350-5.
13. Le Marchand L, Wilkins LR, Mi MP. (1992). Obesity in youth and middle age and risk of colorectal cancer in men. *Cancer Causes Control* 3:349-54.
14. Chute CG, Willett WC, Colditz GA, Stampfer MJ, Rosner B, Speizer FE. (1991). A prospective study of reproductive history and exogenous estrogens on the risk of colorectal cancer in women. *Epidemiology* 2:201-7.
15. Martinez ME, Giovannucci E, Spiegelman D, Hunter DJ, Willett WC, Colditz GA. (1997). Leisure-time physical activity, body size, and colon cancer in women. *Nurses' Health Study Research Group. J Natl Cancer Inst* 89:948-55.
16. Terry P, Giovannucci E, Bergkvist L, Holmberg L, Wolk A. (2001). Body weight and colorectal cancer risk in a cohort of Swedish women: relation varies by age and cancer site. *Br J Cancer* 85:346-9.
17. Colditz G, Cannuscio C, Frazier A. (1997). Physical activity and reduced risk of colon cancer: implications for prevention. *Cancer Causes Control* 8:649-67.
18. Giovannucci E. (1995). Insulin and colon cancer. *Cancer Causes Control* 6: 164-79.
19. Hu FB, Manson JE, Liu S, Hunter D, Colditz GA, Michels KB, et al. (1999). Prospective study of adult-onset diabetes mellitus (Type 2) and risk of colorectal cancer in women. *J Natl Cancer Inst*, 91: 542-7.
20. Schoen RE, Tangen CM, Kuller LH, Burke GL, Cushman M, Tracy RP, et al. (1999). Increased blood glucose and insulin, body size, and incident colorectal cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst*; 91: 1147-54.
21. Cats A, Dullaart R, Kleibeuker J, Kuipers F, Sluiter W, Hardonk M, et al. (1996). Increased epithelial cell proliferation in the colon of patients with acromegaly. *Cancer Res*; 56:523-6.
22. Jenkins P, Fairclough P, Richards T, Lowe D, Monson J, Grossman A, et al. (1997). Acromegaly, colonic polyps and carcinoma. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)* 47: 17-22.
23. Ma J, Pollak MN, Giovannucci E, Chan JM, Tao T, Hennekens CH, et al. (1999). Prospective study of colorectal cancer risk in men and plasma levels of insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-1 and IGF-binding protein-3. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 91: 620-5.
24. Giovannucci E, Pollak MN, Platz EA, Willett WC, Stampfer MJ, Majeed N, et al. (2000). A prospective study of plasma insulin-like growth factor-I and binding protein-3 and risk of colorectal neoplasia in women. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 9: 345-9.
25. Giovannucci E. (2001). Insulin, insulin-like growth factors and colon cancer: a review of the evidence. *J Nutr*; 131: 3109S-20S.
26. Howe GR. (1993). Advances in the biology and therapy of colorectal cancer. Presented at M.D. Anderson's 37th Annual Clinical Conference. M.D. Anderson Cancer Center, Houston.
27. Willett WC, Stampfer MJ, Colditz GA, Rosner BA, Speizer FE. (1990). Relation of meat, fat, and fiber intake to the risk of colon cancer in a prospective study among women. *N Engl J Med* 323: 1664-72.
28. Giovannucci E, Rimm EB, Stampfer MJ, Colditz GA, Ascherio A, Willett WC. (1994). Intake of fat, meat, and fiber in relation to risk of colon cancer in men. *Cancer Res* 54: 2390-7.
29. Goldbohm RA, van den Brandt PA, van't Veer P, Brants HA, Dorant E, Sturmans F, et al. (1994). A prospective cohort study on the relation between meat consumption and the risk of colon cancer. *Cancer Res* 54: 718-23.
30. Stemmermann GN, Nomura AM, Heilbrun LK. (1984). Dietary fat and the risk of colorectal cancer. *Cancer Res* 44: 4633-7.
31. Gerhardsson M, Floderus B, Norell SE. (1988). Physical activity and colon cancer risk. *Int J Epidemiol* 17: 743-6.
32. Bjelke E. (1980). Epidemiology of colorectal cancer, with emphasis on diet. In: Davis W, Harrup KR, Stathopoulos G, editors. *Human cancer: Its characterization and treatment*. Amsterdam: Congress Series No. 484: Excerpta Medica, Int. p. 158-74.
33. Hirayama T. (1986). A large-scale study on cancer risks by diet—with special reference to the risk reducing effects of green-yellow vegetable consumption.
34. In: Hayashi Y, Magao M, Sugimura T, et al, editors. *Diet, nutrition, and cancer*. Tokyo: Japan Scientific Societies Press; p. 41–53.
35. Phillips RL, Snowdon DA. (1983). Association of meat and coffee use with cancers of the large bowel, breast, and prostate among Seventh-Day Adventists: preliminary results. *Cancer Res* 43(Suppl): 2403S-8S.
36. Gerhardsson de Verdier M, Hagman U, Peters RK, Steineck G, Overik E. (1991). Meat, cooking methods and colorectal cancer: a case-referent study in Stockholm. *Int J Cancer* 49: 520-5.

37. Lee HP, Gourley L, Duffy SW, Esteve J, Lee J, Day NE. (1989). Colorectal cancer and diet in an Asian population—a case-control study among Singapore Chinese. *Int J Cancer* 43: 1007-16.
38. Norat T, Lukanova A, Ferrari P, Riboli E. (2002). Meat consumption and colorectal cancer risk: dose-response meta-analysis of epidemiological studies. *Int J Cancer* 98: 241-56.
39. Sugimura T, Sato S. (1983). Mutagens-carcinogens in foods. *Cancer Res* 43:2415S-21S.
40. Sugimura T. (1985). Carcinogenicity of mutagenic heterocyclic amines formed during the cooking process. *Mutat Res* 150: 33-41.
41. Wakabayashi K, Nagao M, Esumi H, Sugimura T. (1992). Food-derived mutagens and carcinogens. *Cancer Res*; 52: 2092S-8S.
42. Sandhu MS, White IR, McPherson K. (2001). Systematic review of the prospective cohort studies on meat consumption and colorectal cancer risk: a meta-analytic approach. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 10:439-46.
43. Willett WC, Stampfer MJ, Colditz GA, Rosner BA, Speizer FE. (1989). Relation of meat, fat and fiber intake to colon cancer risk in a prospective study among women [abstract]. *Am J Epidemiol* 130:820.
44. Kato I, Tominaga S, Matsuura A, Yoshii Y, Shirai M, Kobayashi S. (1990). A comparative casecontrol study of colorectal cancer and adenoma. *Jpn J Cancer Res* 81: 1101-8.
45. Giovannucci E, Stampfer MJ, Colditz GA, Rimm EB, Willett WC. (1992). Relationship of diet to risk of colorectal adenoma in men. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 84: 91-8.
46. Benito E, Cabeza E, Moreno V, Obrador A, Bosch FX. (1993). Diet and colorectal adenomas: a case-control study in Majorca. *Int J Cancer* 55:213-9.
47. Neugut AI, Garbowski GC, Lee WC, Murray T, Nieves JW, Forde KA, et al. (1993). Dietary risk factors for the incidence and recurrence of colorectal adenomatous polyps: a case-control study. *Ann Int Med*; 118:91-5.
48. Sandler RS, Lyles CM, Peipins LA, McAuliffe CA, Woosley JT, Kupper LL. (1993). Diet and risk of colorectal adenomas: macronutrients, cholesterol and fiber. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 85:884-91.
49. Kune GA, Kune S, Watson LF. (1987). The nutritional causes of colorectal cancer: an introduction to the Melbourne Study. *Nutr Cancer* 9:5-56.
50. Graham S, Marshall J, Haughey B, Mittelman A, Swanson M, Zielezny M, et al. (1988). Dietary epidemiology of cancer of the colon in western New York. *Am J Epidemiol* 128: 490-503.
51. West DW, Slattery ML, Robison LM, Schuman KL, Ford MH, Mahoney AW, et al. (1989). Dietary intake and colon cancer: sex- and anatomic site-specific associations. *Am J Epidemiol* 130:883-94.

**Benefits of Publishing with EScientific Publishers:**

- ❖ Swift Peer Review
- ❖ Freely accessible online immediately upon publication
- ❖ Global archiving of articles
- ❖ Authors Retain Copyrights
- ❖ Visibility through different online platforms

**Submit your Paper at:**

<https://escientificpublishers.com/submission>